

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,042	03/10/2004	Wayd A. McNally	16385-US	2741
23553 7590 06/05/2007 MARKS & CLERK			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 957			FAYYAZ, NASHMIYA SAQIB	
STATION B OTTAWA, ON	K1P 5S7		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA			2856	
			C	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/796,042	MCNALLY, WAYD A.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Nashmiya S. Fayyaz	2856		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice and the second of the second second of the second seco	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 M</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pre-			
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 9-39 is/are with 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1 and 3-8 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	ndrawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed and all accomposed are all all accomposed and are all all accomposed and accomposed are all all all all all all all all all al	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal I	Pate		
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tennes et al- US Patent # 4,745,564. As to claims 1 and 6, Tennes et al disclose an impact detection apparatus including a device with an enclosure (fruit shaped housing 10) for measurement while the fruit is being handled or transported, a plurality of sensors (accelerometers 34x, 34y, and 34z along with temperature, humidity, pressure, etc (as listed in col. 9, lines 37-48 can be used), a processor (microprocessor 14) and transceiver 54 for reporting to remote receiver (RAM/ROM 56), see figs. 1-4 and col. 3, lines 45 et seq. As to claim 3, note the description of the housing 10 as given in col. 3, lines 59 et seq. As to claim 7, note claim 9 in Tennes et al. As to claim 8, note col. 4, lines 33-42.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/796,042 Page 3

Art Unit: 2856

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tennes et al. As to claim 4,as best understood, note the fig. 1 depiction which illustrates the two enclosure portions. However, there is no indication of providing threaded retaining means. Further it is indicated that the battery is replaceable, see col. 4, lines 1-24. Although, the means for securing is not given as a threaded retaining, such an expediency is known in electronics packaging such that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included threading to allow for the replacement of the battery as is indicated by Tennes et al. As to claim 5, usage of printed circuit boards for the electronics package would have been obvious to one of ordinary

Application/Control Number: 10/796,042 Page 4

Art Unit: 2856

skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included since the electronics depicted in figs. 4A and 4B include the electronics as they would be mounted on a circuit board.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 4, it is unclear where it is designated that there is a "threaded retaining means providing sealed engagement between the two enclosure portions, enabling assembly and disassembly of the device". In paragraph 36, there is only indicated that a threaded ring may be employed to secure the electronics package.

## Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 2856

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nashmiya S. Fayyaz whose telephone number is 571-272-2192. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays and Thursdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron E. Williams can be reached on 571-272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,042

Art Unit: 2856

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NFayyaz Examiner Art Unit 2856

nf 5/31/07

HEZRON WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800